

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,201	09/01/2004	PUSHKAR K. MERWAH	FIS92040274US1	5200
32074	7590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-	482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52			2829	
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1. The species to which claims 1-14 and 28 are drawn.
- 2. The species to which claims 15-27 and 29 are drawn.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/711,201

Art Unit: 2829

O1 Page 3

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F.

Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

February 16, 2005

ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER